

ON LEVEQUE'S THEOREM ABOUT THE UNIFORM DISTRIBUTION (MOD 1) OF $(a_j \cos a_j x)_{j=1}^{\infty}$

BY

R. NAIR

*Department of Mathematics, University of Edinburgh,
James Clerk Maxwell Building, King's Buildings,
Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JZ, Scotland*

ABSTRACT

We prove some refinements of the theorem mentioned in the title.

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ denotes a sequence of strictly increasing integers. It was shown by W. J. LeVeque [12] that for almost all x with respect to Lebesgue measure, the sequence $(\langle a_j \cos a_j x \rangle)_{j=1}^{\infty}$ is uniformly distributed modulo one. Here for any real number y , $\langle y \rangle = y - [y]$, where $[y]$ denotes the largest integer not greater than y . In this paper, adapting ideas used by R. C. Baker in [1] and [2] to study sequences like $(\langle a_j x \rangle)_{j=1}^{\infty}$, we prove some refinements of LeVeque's theorem. Except in Theorem 1 this is done under additional assumptions about the rate of growth of the sequence $(a_j)_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Before we are in a position to give more details however, we need to introduce a piece of terminology.

DEFINITION. By the discrepancy of $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1)$ we mean

$$D(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sup \left| \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_I(x_j) - |I| \right|.$$

Here for any subset B of $[0, 1)$, by $\chi_B(x)$ we mean its characteristic function and

if it is Lebesgue measurable, by $|B|$ its Lebesgue measure. The above supremum is taken over all intervals I contained in $[0, 1)$, which are open on the right and closed on the left.

As is well known a sequence of real numbers $(y_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ is uniformly distributed modulo one if and only if

$$D(\langle y_1 \rangle, \dots, \langle y_n \rangle) = o(1)$$

(see [10], p. 89). To be brief, for each pair of integers $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$, let

$$D(m, n, x) = D(\langle a_{m+1} \cos a_{m+1} x \rangle, \dots, \langle a_{m+n} \cos a_{m+n} x \rangle)$$

and let

$$D(n, x) = D(0, n, x).$$

Put in the language of discrepancy LeVeque's theorem becomes

$$D(n, x) = o(1) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Here and henceforth we adopt the convention that we mention the measure we are dealing with explicitly only if it is not Lebesgue measure.

In Section 2 the following theorem is proved:

THEOREM 1. *For all $\epsilon > 0$, $D(n, x) = o(n^{-1/4}(\log n)^{3/2+\epsilon})$ a.e.*

The basic method of proof of this theorem is the same as that used by P. Erdős and J. F. Koksma [4] to prove a result, which as a special case shows that, given $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(1) \quad D(\langle a_1 x \rangle, \dots, \langle a_n x \rangle) = o(n^{-1/2}(\log n)^{5/2+\epsilon}) \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Subsequent to the result (1), which was also proved independently by J. W. S. Cassels [3], attention turned to the exceptional sets themselves. It was shown, by P. Erdős and S. J. Taylor [5], assuming

$$(2) \quad a_j = O(j^p) \quad \text{for some } p \geq 1,$$

that if

$$E = \left\{ x : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} D(\langle a_1 x \rangle, \dots, \langle a_n x \rangle) > 0 \right\}$$

and if, here and henceforth, $\dim M$ denotes the Hausdorff dimension of M , then

$$\dim E \leq 1 - \frac{1}{p} .$$

Later refinements were added by R. C. Baker who in [2] studied the set

$$E_q = \left\{ x : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^q D(\langle a_1 x \rangle, \dots, \langle a_n x \rangle) > 0 \right\} .$$

Assuming in addition to (2) that $0 < q < \frac{1}{2}$, he showed that

$$\dim E_q \leq 1 - \frac{1 - 2q}{p + q} .$$

In Section 3 we adapt these methods to prove Theorem 2.

THEOREM 2. *Suppose $q \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, for some $p \geq 1$ that $a_j = O(j^p)$ and that*

$$E_q = \left\{ x : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^q D(n, x) > 0 \right\} .$$

Then

$$\dim E_q \leq 1 - \frac{1 - 4q}{4p + 2q + \frac{1}{2}} .$$

In Section 4 we assume for a_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots$) that there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that $C_1 j^p \leq a_j \leq C_2 j^p$, for some $p \geq 1$. Here we consider subsets B of $[0, 1)$ with the property that

$$B = \bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} I_m$$

for a disjoint collection of intervals $(I_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$ such that

$$(3) \quad \underline{\lim}_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(|I_m|^{-1})}{\log m} = b > 3\frac{1}{2} .$$

Following Theorem 2 of [1], we are interested in the set

$$E(B) = \left\{ x \in [0, 2\pi) : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |F(B, n, x)| > 0 \right\}$$

where

$$F(B, n, x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_B(\langle a_j \cos a_j x \rangle) - n |B| .$$

In this event consider the following two polynomials:

$$(4) \quad f_1(y) = \left(1 - p(1 - y) - \left(\frac{7 - y}{8}\right)\right)\left(\frac{by}{2} - 1\right) - p\left(1 - \frac{3y}{2}\right)\left(\frac{3 - y}{2}\right)$$

and

$$(5) \quad f_2(y) = \left(1 - p(1 - y) - \left(\frac{7 - y}{8}\right)\right)(by - 1) - p(1 - y)(3 - y).$$

The coefficients of y^2 in both $f_1(y)$ and $f_2(y)$ are positive so they each have one simple root after any negative value of the function. This means that as $f_1(2/b) < 0$ and $f_1(1) > 0$, $f_1(y)$ has a root γ_1 (say) in $(2/b, 1)$ and as

$$f_2\left(1 - \frac{1}{4p + \frac{1}{2}}\right) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(1) > 0,$$

$f_2(y)$ has a root γ_2 (say) in $(1 - 1/(4p + \frac{1}{2}), 1)$. Let $\gamma = \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$, then we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3. $\dim E(B) \leq \gamma$.

2. Lebesgue measure and discrepancy estimates

Before we proceed with the proof of Theorem 1 we need some lemmas. The first of these is a version of a theorem due to I. S. Gál and J. F. Koksma [8].

LEMMA 4. *Let, for each pair of non-negative integers $m \geq 0$ and $n \geq 1$,*

$$F(m, n) = F(m, n, x)$$

denote a positive Borel measurable function of x on $[a, b]$. Suppose that whenever $0 \leq l \leq n$ we have

$$(6) \quad |F(m, n)| \leq |F(m, l)| + |F(m + l, n - l)|.$$

Suppose further for some $\theta > 1$, $\phi \geq 0$ and $\psi \geq 0$ that

$$(7) \quad \int_a^b |F(m, n, x)|^2 d\mu(x) = O(n^\theta(n + m)^\phi(\log n)^\psi),$$

where μ is a positive finite Borel measure on $[a, b]$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$F(0, n, x) = o(n^{(\theta + \phi)/2}(\log n)^{(\psi + 1)/2 + \varepsilon}) \quad \mu \text{ a.e.}$$

The original Gál-Koksma theorem was proved with μ being Lebesgue measure. The proof goes through, however, without change for an arbitrary

positive finite Borel measure. If we apply Lemma 1 to $F(m, n, x) = nD(m, n, x)$, which clearly satisfies (6), we reduce the proof of Theorem 1 to obtaining estimates of the type (7) for $nD(m, n, x)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots$, $m = 1, 2, \dots$). The task is further reduced by Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 5 (which follows and is the well known Erdős-Turán theorem [6]) to estimating the L^2 -norms of certain exponential sums. Henceforth in this paper, for a real number x , $e(x)$ will denote $e^{2\pi ix}$.

LEMMA 5. *There exists an absolute constant $K_1 > 0$ such that for all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in [0, 1)$ and any positive integer r*

$$nD(x_1, \dots, x_n) \leq K_1 \left(\frac{n}{r} + \sum_{h=1}^r \frac{1}{h} \left| \sum_{j=1}^n e(hx_j) \right| \right).$$

To obtain the desired L^2 -estimate of the exponential sums, we need a "quasi-orthogonality" inequality essentially due to LeVeque [12] which we formulate as Lemma 6.

LEMMA 6. *For all positive integers h, j and k ($j \neq k$) and given interval $[u, v]$, there exists a positive constant $K_2 = K_2(u, v)$, such that*

$$\left| \int_u^v e(h(a_j \cos a_j x - a_k \cos a_k x)) dx \right| \leq \frac{K_2}{|a_j - a_k|^{1/2}}.$$

Our next lemma completes our estimate of the L^2 -norm of the exponential sums.

LEMMA 7. *For non-negative integers h, m and $n \geq 1$ let*

$$S_h(m, n, x) = \sum_{j=-m+1}^{m+n} e(ha_j \cos a_j x).$$

There exists a positive constant $K_3 = K_3(u, v)$ such that

$$\left(\int_u^v |S_h(m, n, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq K_3 n^{3/4}.$$

PROOF.

$$\int_u^v |S_h(m, n, x)|^2 dx = \int_u^v \left(\sum_{j=-m+1}^{m+n} \sum_{k=-m+1}^{m+n} e(h(a_j \cos a_j x - a_k \cos a_k x)) \right) dx,$$

which is

$$\ll \left(n + \sum_{j \neq k} \left| \int_u^v e(h(a_j \cos a_j x - a_k \cos a_k x)) dx \right| \right).$$

Pairing symmetric terms in the above sum and using Lemma 6 this is

$$\ll \left(n + \sum_{m+1 \leq j < k \leq m+n} |a_j - a_k|^{-1/2} \right),$$

which, using the fact that $|j - k| \leq |a_j - a_k|$, is

$$\ll \left(n + \sum_{m+1 < j < k \leq m+n} (k - j)^{-1/2} \right) \ll n^{3/2},$$

as required. □

We are now in a position to complete the *proof of Theorem 1*. Firstly, Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 7 give us for all positive integers $m, n \geq 1$ and r

$$\left(\int_a^b (nD(m, n, x))^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \ll \left(\frac{n}{r} + \sum_{h=1}^r \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_a^b |S_h(m, n, x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \right).$$

Choosing $r = [n^{1/4}]$, $u = a$ and $v = b$, and quoting Lemma 7,

$$\left(\int_a^b (nD(m, n, x))^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \ll n^{3/4} (\log n).$$

Lemma 4 now gives, for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$D(n, x) = o(n^{-1/4} (\log n)^{3/2+\varepsilon}) \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

as required. □

3. Hausdorff dimension of exceptional sets: Discrepancy

Throughout this section we assume that the sequence $(a_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ satisfies

$$(8) \quad a_j = O(j^p) \quad \text{for some } p > 1.$$

We now proceed to the proof of Theorem 2 and assume for the sake of contradiction that for some $0 < q < \frac{1}{2}$, there exists a ν with

$$(9) \quad \dim E_q > \nu > 1 - \frac{1 - 4q}{4p + 2q + \frac{1}{2}}.$$

The following lemma is a slight variation, due to R. C. Baker [1], of a theorem due to Frostman [7]. It enables us to formulate our problem in a way which we can look at, using methods similar to those of the previous section.

LEMMA 8. *Let $E \subset [a, b]$. For any $\nu < \dim E$ there exists a finite positive measure μ , supported on a compact set $C \subset E$, with $\dim C = \dim E$, such that if $a \leq x < y \leq b$, then*

$$(10) \quad \mu([x, y]) \leq (y - x)^\nu.$$

The left hand inequality in (9) together with Lemma 8 imply the existence of a positive Borel measure μ on $[a, b]$ supported on C_q (say), a compact subset of E_q , having the same Hausdorff dimension. The idea is to show

$$(11) \quad D(n, x) = o(n^{-q})\mu \text{ a.e.,}$$

because this contradicts μ being supported on C_q . Showing (11) reduces, via Lemma 4, to obtaining $L^2(\mu)$ norm estimates for $nD(m, n, x)$. Firstly note that from Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 5 we have

$$(12) \quad \left(\int_a^b (nD(m, n, x))^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \ll \left(\frac{n}{r} + \sum_{h=1}^r \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_a^b |S_h(m, n, x)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \right)$$

for all non-negative integers $m, n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$. The next two lemmas enable us to estimate the right hand side of (12).

Together they form "the large sieve" derived by modifying a classical version due to P. X. Gallagher [9].

LEMMA 9. *For a sequence of continuously differentiable functions $(g_j(x))_{j=1}^\infty$ defined on $[a - \frac{1}{2}, b + \frac{1}{2}]$ and all non-negative integers $m, n \geq 1$ and $h \geq 1$, let*

$$s_h(x) = s_h(m, n, x) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} e(hg_j(x)).$$

Consider μ a positive Borel measure on $[a, b]$ with support having Hausdorff dimension greater than ν . Suppose that if $a \leq x < y \leq b$ we have $\mu([x, y]) \leq (y - x)^\nu$. Then if $\delta > 0$,

$$\int_a^b |s_h(\alpha)|^2 d\mu(\alpha) \leq \delta^{y-1} \int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |s_h(x)|^2 dx + \delta^y \left(\int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |s_h(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |s'_h(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. For a continuously differentiable function f on $[0, 1]$ it is easily seen (by integrating by parts the second and third integrals on the right of (13)) that

$$(13) \quad f(x) = \int_0^1 f(u) du + \int_0^x u f'(u) du + \int_x^1 (u - 1) f'(u) du.$$

This implies that

$$|f(\frac{1}{2})| \leq \int_0^1 (|f(u)| + \frac{1}{2}|f'(u)|) du.$$

Hence for a real number α and a continuously differentiable function $g(t)$ defined on $[\alpha - \delta/2, \alpha + \delta/2]$ we have (after a change of variables)

$$|g(\alpha)| \leq \int_{\alpha-\delta/2}^{\alpha+\delta/2} \left(\frac{1}{\delta} |g(t)| + \frac{1}{2} |g'(t)| \right) dt.$$

On setting $g(t) = s_h^2(t)$ this becomes

$$|s_h^2(\alpha)| \leq \int_{\alpha-\delta/2}^{\alpha+\delta/2} \left(\frac{1}{\delta} |s_h^2(t)| + |s'_h(t)s_h(t)| \right) dt.$$

Integrating both sides with respect to μ this gives

$$\int_a^b |s_h^2(\alpha)| d\mu(\alpha) \leq \int_a^b \int_{\alpha-\delta/2}^{\alpha+\delta/2} (\delta^{-1} |s_h^2(t)| + |s'_h(t)s_h(t)|) dt d\mu(\alpha).$$

Hence after a justified change in order or integration we obtain

$$\int_a^b |s_h^2(\alpha)| d\mu(\alpha) \leq \int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} (\delta^{-1} |s_h^2(t)| + |s'_h(t)s_h(t)|) \left(\int_{\max(a,t-\delta/2)}^{\min(b,t+\delta/2)} d\mu(\alpha) \right) dt.$$

Finally, using the fact that $\mu([x, y]) \leq (y - x)^y$ and applying Cauchy's inequality to $|s'_h(t)s_h(t)|$ we have

$$\int_a^b |s_h^2(\alpha)| d\mu(\alpha) \leq \delta^{y-1} \int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |s_h^2(t)| dt + \delta^y \left(\int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |s_h^2(t)| dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |s'_h(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

as required. □

Essentially Lemma 9, in the special case where $g_j(x) = a_j x$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$), is stated in [11] where it is ascribed to E. Bombieri. See [2] for a proof, however. The next lemma converts the previous one into a bound explicit in m, n and h when we choose $g_j(x) = a_j \cos a_j x$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$).

LEMMA 10. *Let μ denote a positive Borel measure on $[a, b]$ with support having Hausdorff dimension greater than ν and such that if $a \leq x < y \leq b$ then $\mu([x, y]) \leq (y - x)^\nu$. Suppose for all non-negative integers $m, n \geq 1$ and $h \geq 1$ that (as in Lemma 7)*

$$S_h(x) = S_h(m, n, x) = \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} e(ha_j \cos a_j x).$$

Then there exists a constant $K_4 = K_4(a, b) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_a^b |S_h(m, n, x)|^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \leq K_4((m+n)^{\nu(1-\nu)} n^{(7-\nu)/8} h^{(1-\nu)/2}).$$

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |S'_h(x)|^2 dx \\ & \leq \sum_{j=m+1}^{m+n} \sum_{k=m+1}^{m+n} h^2 a_j^2 a_k^2 \int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} \sin a_j x \sin a_k x e(h(a_j \cos a_j x - a_k \cos a_k x)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Remembering (8) and estimating the integrand on the right trivially we have, for bounded δ (by 1 say),

$$\int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |S'_h(x)|^2 dx \ll h^2(m+n)^4 \delta n^2.$$

We also have from Lemma 7

$$\int_{a-\delta/2}^{b+\delta/2} |S_h(x)|^2 dx \ll n^{3/2}.$$

Lemma 9 now gives, on choosing $g_j(x) = a_j \cos a_j x$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots$),

$$\int_a^b |S_h(x)|^2 d\mu(x) \ll (\delta^{\nu-1} n^{3/2} + \delta^\nu (hn)^{7/4} (m+n)^{2\nu}).$$

Letting $\delta = \frac{1}{2} n^{-1/4} (m+n)^{-2\nu} h^{-1}$, the lemma is established. □

We are now ready to finish off the *proof of Theorem 2*. By (9)

$$(14) \quad \nu > 1 - \frac{(1-4q)}{4p+2q+\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(15) \quad q < \frac{1 - p(1 - \nu) - \left(\frac{7 - \nu}{8}\right)}{\left(\frac{3 - \nu}{2}\right)} .$$

Hence we can write

$$(16) \quad \omega = \frac{1 - p(1 - \nu) - \left(\frac{7 - \nu}{8}\right)}{\left(\frac{3 - \nu}{2}\right)} = q + \rho$$

where $\rho > 0$.

Further write

$$r = [n^\omega],$$

so that, as $\omega > 0$, it follows that r tends to infinity as n does. Now observe that Lemmas 5 and 10 combine to give

$$(17) \quad \left(\int_a^b (nD(m, n, x))^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \ll \left(n^{1-\omega} + \sum_{h=1}^{[n^\omega]} \frac{1}{h} (h^{(1-\nu)/2} n^{(7-\nu)/8} (m+n)^{p(1-\nu)}) \right).$$

Hence if we note that

$$\sum_{h=1}^{[n^\omega]} \frac{1}{h} (h^{(1-\nu)/2}) \ll n^{\omega(1-\nu)/2},$$

we have from (17)

$$\left(\int_a^b (nD(m, n, x))^2 d\mu(x) \right)^{1/2} \ll (n^{1-\omega} + (n+m)^{p(1-\nu)} n^{(7-\nu)/8 + \omega(1-\nu)/2}).$$

From (16)

$$(18) \quad 1 - \omega = p(1 - \nu) + \left(\frac{7 - \nu}{8}\right) + \omega \left(\frac{1 - \nu}{2}\right),$$

hence

$$\int_a^b (nD(m, n, x))^2 d\mu(x) \ll n^\theta (m+n)^\phi$$

where

$$\phi = 2p(1 - \nu)$$

and

$$\theta = 1 + \left(\frac{3-\nu}{4}\right) + (1-\nu) \left\{ \frac{1 - p(1-\nu) + \left(\frac{7-\nu}{8}\right)}{\left(\frac{3-\nu}{2}\right)} \right\}.$$

Now from (16) and (18) $\theta + \phi = 2 - 2\omega$ so, as $\rho > 0$ by (16), we have for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$

$$D(n, x) = O(n^{-\omega+\varepsilon}) = o(n^{-q})\mu \quad \text{a.e.,}$$

as required. □

4. Exceptional sets to the expected distribution in a class of non-intervals

In this section we assume throughout that there exist positive constants K_5 and K_6 such that for the sequence $(a_j)_{j=1}^\infty$

$$(19) \quad K_5 j^p \leq |a_j| \leq K_6 j^p \quad \text{for some } p \geq 1.$$

Remember we are interested in showing that if

$$F(B, n, x) = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_B(\langle a_j \cos s_j x \rangle) - n|B|$$

and

$$E(B) = \left\{ x \in [0, 2\pi) : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |F(B, n, x)| > 0 \right\}$$

then $\dim E(B) \leq \gamma = \max(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)$ where γ_1 and γ_2 are simple roots of polynomials $f_1(t)$ and $f_2(t)$ respectively defined by (4) and (5). Remember also that

$$(20) \quad f_1\left(\frac{2}{b}\right) < 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2\left(1 - \frac{1}{4p + \frac{1}{2}}\right) < 0.$$

We argue by contradiction assuming that

$$(21) \quad \eta = \dim E(B) > \gamma.$$

This means

$$f_1(\eta) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad f_2(\eta) > 0.$$

By (20), $b\eta - 2$ and hence $b\eta - 1$ are positive. This means we can find d such that

$$(22) \quad \frac{1 - p(1 - \eta) - \left(\frac{7 - \eta}{8}\right)}{\left(\frac{3 - \eta}{2}\right)} > d > \max\left(\frac{2p(1 - \eta)}{b\eta - 1}, \frac{p(2 - 3\eta)}{b\eta - 2}\right).$$

Further $2p(1 - t)(bt - 1)^{-1}$ and $p(2 - 3t)(bt - 2)^{-1}$ are decreasing in t , so as $\eta > 1 - 1/(4p + \frac{1}{2})$ by (20), we know

$$(23) \quad \max\left(\frac{2p(1 - \eta)}{b\eta - 1}, \frac{p(2 - 3\eta)}{b\eta - 1}\right) < \frac{2p}{b(4p - \frac{1}{2}) - (4p + \frac{1}{2})} < \frac{1}{4},$$

the final inequality of (23) following because $b > 3\frac{1}{4}$ by (3) and $p \geq 1$ by (19). This means that we can assume

$$(24) \quad 0 < d < \frac{1}{4}.$$

If we now write

$$(25) \quad s(n) = \bigcup_{i \leq n^d} I_d \quad \text{and} \quad t(n) = \bigcup_{i > n^d} I_i$$

we have

$$F(B, n, x) = F(s(n), n, x) + F(t(n), n, x).$$

Let $(m_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ be the sequence $m_k = [e^{k^{1/2}}]$. The only properties of $(m_j)_{j=1}^\infty$ that interest us are the fact that

$$(26) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m_{k+1}}{m_k} = 1,$$

and that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$(27) \quad \sum_{k=1}^\infty m_k^{-\varepsilon} < \infty.$$

LEMMA 11. *For any set $B \subset [0, 1)$ of positive measure*

$$\left\{x : \overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |F(B, n, x)| > 0\right\} \subset \left\{x : \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |F(B, m_k, x)| > 0\right\}.$$

Lemma 11, which hinges on (26) and is proved in [1], together with (25) implies that

$$(28) \quad E(B) \subset P \cup Q,$$

where

$$P = \left\{ x : \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |F(s(m_k), m_k, x)| > 0 \right\}$$

and

$$Q = \left\{ x : \overline{\lim}_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |F(t(m_k), m_k, x)| > 0 \right\}.$$

We now estimate $\dim P$:

$$F(s(n), n, x) = \sum_{i \leq n^d} (\#\{j : 1 \leq j \leq n : \langle a_j \cos a_j x \rangle \in I_i\} - n |I_i|),$$

so

$$\frac{1}{n} |F(s(n), n, x)| \leq n^d D(n, x).$$

Hence, from Theorem 2, after noting (24) we have

$$(29) \quad \dim P \leq 1 - \frac{1 - 4d}{4p + \frac{1}{2} + 2d}.$$

This means that $\dim Q \geq \eta$ because the left hand side of (22) can be re-written as

$$\eta > 1 - \frac{1 - 4d}{4p + \frac{1}{2} + 2d}.$$

Now select c such that

$$(30) \quad \eta^{-1} < \frac{c}{2} < \frac{b}{2}$$

and $\sigma < \eta$ such that

$$d > \max \left\{ \frac{2p(1 - \sigma)}{c\sigma - 1}, \frac{p(2 - 3\sigma)}{(c\sigma - 2)} \right\}.$$

This is clearly possible as the right hand inequality of (22) is sharp. Since $\dim Q > \sigma$, Lemma 8 tells us there exists a positive finite Borel measure μ on

$[0, 2\pi)$, supported on a compact subset of Q , which has the same Hausdorff dimension as Q and is such that if $0 \leq x < y \leq 2\pi$, we have

$$(31) \quad \mu([x, y]) \leq (y - x)^\sigma.$$

From (25)

$$(32) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{n} |F(t(n), n, x)| d\mu(x) \leq \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{n} |\#\{j : 1 \leq j \leq n : \langle a_j \cos a_j x \rangle \in t(n)\}| d\mu(x) + \int_0^{2\pi} |t(n)| d\mu(x).$$

By (3) and (30)

$$|t(n)| = \sum_{i > n^d} |I_i| \ll \sum_{i > n^d} i^{-c},$$

which, for small enough $\varepsilon > 0$, means

$$(33) \quad \int_0^{2\pi} |t(n)| d\mu(x) \ll n^{-\varepsilon}.$$

We need a similar estimate for the first integral in (32). Now

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{n} |\#\{j : 1 \leq j \leq n : \langle a_j \cos a_j x \rangle \in t(n)\}| d\mu(x) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i > n^d} \mu(E_{i,j}),$$

where

$$E_{i,j} = \{x : \langle a_j \cos a_j x \rangle \in I_i\}.$$

We thus need an estimate for $\mu(E_{i,j})$.

LEMMA 12. *Given an integer a other than zero and any interval $I \subset [0, 1)$ we set*

$$F = \{x \in [0, 2\pi) : \langle a \cos ax \rangle \in I\}.$$

Then $F = \bigcup_n J_n$ where the J_n are a finite number of disjoint intervals. Further, if $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$ then there exists an absolute positive constant K_σ such that

$$\sum_n |J_n|^\sigma \leq K_7(|I|^{\sigma/2} a^{(1-3\sigma/2)} + |I|^\sigma a^{2-2\sigma}).$$

PROOF. We can suppose without loss of generality that a is positive. Suppose for $m = 1, 2, \dots, 2a$ that $A(m, x)$ are the functions alternatively monotonically decreasing or increasing (depending on m being odd or even, respectively) obtained by restricting $A(x) = a \cos ax$ to $[(m - 1)\pi/a, m\pi/a]$. Note that if we assume $I = [u, u + |I|]$ and $0 \leq u \leq 1 - |I|$ (as we may do, again without loss of generality) then

$$(34) \quad F = \bigcup_{m=1}^{2a} \bigcup_{r=-a}^{a-1} \{x : A(m, x) \in [u + r, u + r + |I|]\}.$$

From now on, for brevity, let

$$(35) \quad F_{m,v} = \{x : A(m, x) \in [v, v + |I|]\}.$$

The $F_{m,u+r}$ ($m = 1, 2, \dots, 2a$) are intervals because, for each m , $A(m, x)$ is continuous and monotone in its interval of definition. We have thus expressed F as finitely many disjoint intervals. For $0 \leq r \leq a - 1$, by the mean value theorem there exists y in $F_{1,r+u}$ and z in $F_{1,r+1-|I|}$ such that

$$|F_{1,r+u}| C(y) = |I| = |F_{1,r+1-|I|}| C(z),$$

where $C(y)$ refers to the modulus of the derivative of $A(x)$ evaluated at y . Now $C(x)$ is monotonically increasing on $[0, \pi/2a]$ so assuming, as we may without loss of generality, that $F_{1,r+u}$ and $F_{1,r+1-|I|}$ are disjoint we have for all $0 \leq r \leq a - 1$

$$(36) \quad |F_{1,r+u}| \leq |F_{1,r+1-|I|}|.$$

Similarly $C(x)$ is monotonically decreasing on $[\pi/2a, \pi/a]$ so, for $-a \leq r \leq -1$,

$$(37) \quad |F_{1,r+u}| \leq |F_{1,r}|.$$

Now considering the symmetries of the graph of $A(x)$ we have, fixing r and u for all m , that

$$(38) \quad |F_{m,u+r}| = |F_{1,u+r}|$$

and, for $1 \leq r \leq a$,

$$(39) \quad |F_{m,r-|I|}| = |F_{m,-r}|.$$

Hence combining (34), (35), (36), (37), (38) and (39) we have, for $F = \bigcup_n J_n$,

$$(40) \quad \sum_n |J_n|^\sigma \leq 4a \sum_{r=1}^a |F_1, r - |I||^\sigma.$$

Now we know that $\sin x \geq 2x/\pi$ on $[0, \pi/2)$. Hence integrating we obtain $\cos x \leq 1 - x^2/\pi$ on $[0, \pi/2)$. Rescaling this,

$$a \cos ax \leq a - \frac{a^3 x^2}{\pi}$$

on $[0, \pi/2a)$. The mean value theorem now tells us that

$$(41) \quad |F_{1,a-|I|}|^\sigma \leq \left| \left\{ x : \left(a - \frac{a^3 x^2}{\pi} \right) \in [a - |I|, a] \right\} \right|^\sigma = \pi^{\sigma/2} |I|^{\sigma/2} a^{-3\sigma/2}.$$

Further $A''(x) = -a^2 A(x)$, hence $A(x)$ is concave when positive. Remember that if $h(y)$ is concave in the interval $[x, z]$ and $y \in [x, z]$ then

$$(h(x) - h(z))(z - y) \leq (h(y) - h(z))(z - x).$$

In consequence we have, if we choose $h(t) = A(1, t)$, $x = A^{-1}(1, r + 1 - |I|)$, $y = A^{-1}(1, r)$ and $z = A^{-1}(1, r - |I|)$ for $1 \leq r \leq a - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} |F_1, r - |I||^\sigma &= |A^{-1}(1, r - |I|) - A^{-1}(1, r)|^\sigma \\ &\leq |I|^\sigma (A^{-1}(1, r - |I|) - A^{-1}(1, r - |I| + 1))^\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

where $A^{-1}(m, x)$ is the inverse function of $A(m, x)$ in x for fixed m . Using the concavity of x^σ , for $0 \leq \sigma \leq 1$, we have

$$(42) \quad \sum_{r=1}^{a-1} (A^{-1}(1, r + 1 - |I|) - A^{-1}(1, r - |I|))^\sigma \leq (a - 1)^{1-\sigma} \left(\frac{\pi}{2a} \right)^\sigma.$$

The proof of the lemma is now over because (40), (41) and (42) combine to give

$$\sum_n |J_n|^\sigma \leq K_7 (|I|^{\sigma/2} a^{(1-3\sigma/2)} + |I|^\sigma a^{2-2\sigma})$$

as required. □

Noting (31) and (19), Lemma 12 immediately gives

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i>n^d} \mu(E_{i,j}) \ll \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i>n^d} (j^{p(1-3\sigma/2)} i^{-c\sigma/2} + i^{-c\sigma} j^{2p(1-\sigma)})$$

which is

$$(43) \quad \ll (n^{2p(1-\sigma)-d(c\sigma-1)} + n^{p(1-3\sigma/2)-d(c\sigma/2-1)}) \ll n^{-\varepsilon},$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus we know by (27), (33) and (43) that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{1}{m_k} |F(t(m_k), m_k, x)| d\mu(x) < \infty.$$

This means

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m_k} |F(t(m_k), m_k, x)| < \infty \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

In particular

$$F(t(m_k), m_k, x) = o(m_k) \quad \mu\text{-a.e.}$$

This contradicts the fact that μ is supported on a compact subset of Q with the same Hausdorff dimension and so we have proved that $\dim E(B) \leq \gamma$ as required. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to thank R. C. Baker for encouraging his interest in questions of this type and W. Parry, the author's Ph.D. Supervisor, for much valuable advice. He also thanks the referee for his careful and constructive criticism. This paper was written while the author was supported by the S.E.R.C.

REFERENCES

1. R. C. Baker, *On exceptional sets in uniform distribution*, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. **22** (1979), 145–160.
2. R. C. Baker, *Metric number theory and the large sieve*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **24** (1981), 34–40.
3. J. W. S. Cassels, *Some metrical theorems in diophantine approximation, III*, Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **46** (1950), 219–225.
4. P. Erdős and J. F. Koksma, *On uniform distribution mod 1 of sequences $\{f(n, \theta)\}$* , Indagationes Math. **11** (1949), 299–302.
5. P. Erdős and S. J. Taylor, *On the set of points of convergence of a lacunary trigonometrical series and the equidistribution properties of related sequences*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **7** (1957), 598–615.
6. P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On a problem in the theory of uniform distribution I and II*, Indagationes Math. X (5) (1948), 3–11, 12–19.
7. O. Frostman, Thesis, Lund University, 1935.
8. I. S. Gál and J. F. Koksma, *Sur l'ordre de grandeur des fonctions sommables*, Indagationes Math. **12** (1950), 192–207.
9. P. X. Gallacher, *The large sieve*, Mathematika **14** (1967), 14–20.
10. L. Kuipers and H. Niederreiter, *Uniform Distribution of Sequences*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1974.
11. H. L. Montgomery, *The analytic principle of the large sieve*, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. **84** (1978), 547–567.
12. W. J. LeVeque, *The distribution modulo one of trigonometrical series*, Duke J. Math. **20** (1953), 367–374.